IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on November 30, 2010 at or before 11:59 p.m. Pacific Time under the Rules of 37 CFR § 1.8. Monigue X. Le

Applicant Michael Boll Confirmation No. 9726

Application No. 10/590,462 July 30, 2007 Filed

Title HYDROXYETHYLSTARCH

Grp./Div. 1623

Examiner Olson, Eric

Docket No. 1131-20-PCT-PA-TD

22145 Customer No.

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 18200 Von Karman, Suite 725 Irvine, CA 92612 November 30, 2010

Commissioner:

Applicant respectfully asserts that the claims in the present application are patentable based on the combinations of all elements recited therein and not on any particular limitation that the Examiner had called out in the Examiner's Statement of Reason for Allowance. See, e.g., Salazar v. Procter & Gamble Company, 414 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2005). Accordingly, to the extent that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance omits claim limitations. including limitations recited in dependent claims. Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Statement. Applicant also notes that features recited in the Examiner's Statement as comprising the claimed invention are not included in every claim. Applicant emphasizes that for any particular claim only those limitations expressly recited in such claim are relevant to the reasons for its allowability. In addition, to the extent that the Examiner's paraphrasing of the

Appln No. 10/590,462

subject matter of the claims differs from the language of the claims, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the reasons for allowance.

Respectfully submitted.

KLINE, O'NEILL & SINGH, LLP

By Bright Chan Brighte C. Phan, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 61,941 949-955-1920

BCP/mxl